

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/522,191	01/25/2005	Hugh James O'Donnell	60469-194;IT-5025 1477	
26584 7590 07/02/2007 OTIS ELEVATOR COMPANY INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT 10 FARM SPRINGS FARMINGTON, CT 06032			EXAMINER	
			GRAY, JILL M	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
TARRINGTO	TARMINGTON, CT 00032		1774	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/02/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/522,191	O'DONNELL .
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Jill M. Gray	1774
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allower closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims	•	
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). njected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
		•
Attachment(s)	1.0	
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/25/05. 	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate

Application/Control Number: 10/522,191 Page 2

Art Unit: 1774

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The use of the trademark "TEFLON" has been noted in this application. It should be capitalized wherever it appears and be accompanied by the generic terminology.

Although the use of trademarks is permissible in patent applications, the proprietary nature of the marks should be respected and every effort made to prevent their use in any manner which might adversely affect their validity as trademarks.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1, 5, 7-8, and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Baranda et al, US 2003/009252 A1 (Baranda).

Baranda discloses an elevator belt assembly comprising a plurality of cord within a jacket and a method of making, said method comprising aligning the cords in a desired alignment, tensioning the cords and applying a jacket to the cords during the tensioning process, as required by claims 1 and 7. See [0034]. The jacket is a

Art Unit: 1774

urethane, per claims 5 and 10, and the belt has limited stretch as required by claim 8. See [0009], [0020], [0029] and [0034].

Therefore the teachings of Baranda anticipate the invention as claimed in present claims 1, 5, 7-8, and 10.

3. Claims 7-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by PCT Patent Publication WO 01/14630A1 (hereinafter Prewo).

Prewo teaches a tension member for an elevator comprising organic fibers and steel fibers encased in a polyurethane jacket. See page 3, lines 7-14 and page 5, line 3.

Accordingly, the teachings of Prewo anticipate the invention as claimed in present claims 7-10.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 2-4, 6, 9, and 11-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Baranda et al, US 2003/0092524 A1 (Baranda) as applied above to claims 1, 5, 7-8, and 10, in view of PCT Patent Publication WO 01/14630A1 (hereinafter Prewo).

Baranda is as set forth above but does not teach that the cords are formed from a synthetic material. Prewo teaches a tension member for an elevator comprising

organic fibers and steel fibers because the combined organic and steel fibers result in a shared load that provides significantly enhanced properties. See page 3, lines 20-26. As to claims 6 and 9, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the elevator belt assembly of Baranda by including cords made of a synthetic material in order to obtain the efficacious properties associated therewith.

Regarding claims 2-4 and 11-12, it is the position of the examiner that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, it is not inventive to discover the optimum or workable ranges by routine experimentation. *In re Aller*, 105 USPQ 233 (CCPA 1955). Accordingly, it would have been obvious to the skilled artisan at the time the invention was made to determine the optimum load for tensioning the cords during routine experimentation commensurate with the desired properties of the end product.

Therefore, the combined teachings of Baranda and Prewo would have rendered obvious the invention as claimed in present claims 2-4, 6, 9, and 11-12.

No claims are allowed.

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jill M. Gray whose telephone number is 571-272-1524. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th and alternate Fridays 10:30-7:00.

Art Unit: 1774

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rena Dye can be reached on 571-272-3186. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1774

jmg